

7448



37953

Facility name: <u>Richardson Flat Tailings</u>	
Location: <u>NW 1/4, Sec. 1; NE 1/4, Sec. 2; T 2 S, R 4 E, Summit Cty, UT</u>	
EPA Region: <u>VIII</u>	
Person(s) in charge of the facility: <u>United Park City Mines</u>	
<u>309 Kearns Bldg.</u>	
<u>Salt Lake City, Utah 84101</u>	
Name of Reviewer: _____	Date: _____
General description of the facility:	
(For example: landfill, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the facility; contamination route of major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)	
<u>Richardson Flat Tailings consists of approximately 2 million tons</u>	
<u>of mill tailings from metal mines in the Park City area. The</u>	
<u>tailings are located in an active stream valley. Ground water,</u>	
<u>surface water and air contamination routes were scored.</u>	
_____	
_____	
_____	
Scores: $S_M = 39.13(S_{gw} = 0 \quad S_{sw} = 47.27 S_a = 48.46)$	
$S_{FE} = 0$	
$S_{DC} = 12.50$	

**FIGURE 1**  
**HRS COVER SHEET**

Surface Water Route Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
<b>[1]</b> Observed Release	0      45	1	45	45	4.1	
If observed release is given a value of 45, proceed to line <b>[4]</b> . If observed release is given a value of 0, proceed to line <b>[2]</b> .						
<b>[2]</b> Route Characteristics					4.2	
Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain	0 1 2 3	1		3		
1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall	0 1 2 3	1		3		
Distance to Nearest Surface Water	0 1 2 3	2		6		
Physical State	0 1 2 3	1		3		
Total Route Characteristics Score				15		
<b>[3]</b> Containment	0 1 2 3	1		3	4.3	
<b>[4]</b> Waste Characteristics					4.4	
Toxicity/Persistence	0 3 6 9 12 15 <b>18</b>	1	18	18		
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b>	1	8	8		
Total Waste Characteristics Score			26	26		
<b>[5]</b> Targets					4.5	
Surface Water Use	0 1 <b>2</b> 3	3	6	9		
Distance to a Sensitive Environment	<b>0</b> 1 2 3	2	0	6		
Population Served/Distance to Water Intake Downstream	0 4 6 <b>8</b> 10 12 16 18 <b>20</b> 24 24 30 32 35 40	1	20	40		
Total Targets Score			26	55		
<b>[6]</b> If line <b>[1]</b> is 45, multiply <b>[1]</b> x <b>[4]</b> x <b>[5]</b> If line <b>[1]</b> is 0, multiply <b>[2]</b> x <b>[3]</b> x <b>[4]</b> x <b>[5]</b>			30420	64,350		
<b>[7]</b> Divide line <b>[6]</b> by 64,350 and multiply by 100			$S_{SW} = 47.27$			

**FIGURE 7**  
**SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET**

NOT SCORED

Ground Water Route Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
<b>1</b> Observed Release	0	45	1		45	3.1
If observed release is given a score of 45, proceed to line <b>4</b> If observed release is given a score of 0, proceed to line <b>2</b>						
<b>2</b> Route Characteristics						3.2
Depth to Aquifer of Concern	0	1 2 3	2		6	
Net Precipitation	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Permeability of the Unsaturated Zone	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Physical State	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Total Route Characteristics Score					15	
<b>3</b> Containment	0	1 2 3	1		3	3.3
<b>4</b> Waste Characteristics						3.4
Toxicity/Persistence	0	3 6 9 12 15 18	1		18	
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1		8	
Total Waste Characteristics Score					26	
<b>5</b> Targets						3.5
Ground Water Use	0	1 2 3	3		9	
Distance to Nearest Well/Population Served	0	4 6 8 10	1		40	
	12	16 18 20				
	24	30 32 35 40				
Total Targets Score					49	
<b>6</b> If line <b>1</b> is 45, multiply <b>1</b> x <b>4</b> x <b>5</b> If line <b>1</b> is 0, multiply <b>2</b> x <b>3</b> x <b>4</b> x <b>5</b>					57,330	
<b>7</b> Divide line <b>6</b> by 57,330 and multiply by 100 <span style="float: right;"><math>S_{gw} =</math></span>						

**FIGURE 2**  
**GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET**

Air Route Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi-plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
<b>1</b> Observed Release	0                  45	1	45	45	5.1	
Date and Location: July 7-14, 1986 - Richardson Flat Tailings						
Sampling Protocol: Hi-volume Air Sampling						
If line <b>1</b> is 0, the $S_a = 0$ . Enter on line <b>5</b> . If line <b>1</b> is 45, then proceed to line <b>2</b> .						
<b>2</b> Waste Characteristics					5.2	
Reactivity and Incompatibility	0 1 2 3	1	1	3		
Toxicity	0 1 2 3	3	9	9		
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1	8	8		
Total Waste Characteristics Score			18	20		
<b>3</b> Targets					5.3	
Population Within 4-Mile Radius	0 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30	1	18	30		
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 1 2 3	2	0	6		
Land Use	0 1 2 3	1	3	3		
Total Targets Score			21	39		
<b>4</b> Multiply <b>1</b> x <b>2</b> x <b>3</b>			17010	35,100		
<b>5</b> Divide line <b>4</b> by 35,100 and multiply by 100			$S_a = 48.46$			

**FIGURE 9**  
**AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET**

	S	S <sup>2</sup>
Groundwater Route Score (S <sub>gw</sub> )		
Surface Water Route Score (S <sub>sw</sub> )	47.27	2234.45
Air Route Score (S <sub>a</sub> )	48.46	2348.37
$S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2$		4582.82
$\sqrt{S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2}$		67.70
$\sqrt{S_{gw}^2 + S_{sw}^2 + S_a^2} / 1.73 = S_M =$		39.13

**FIGURE 10**  
**WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING S<sub>M</sub>**

NOT SCORED

Fire and Explosion Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
<b>1</b> Containment	1	3	1		3	7.1
<b>2</b> Waste Characteristics						7.2
Direct Evidence	0	3	1		3	
Ignitability	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Reactivity	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Incompatibility	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Hazardous Waste Quantity	0	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1		8	
Total Waste Characteristics Score					20	
<b>3</b> Targets						7.3
Distance to Nearest Population	0	1 2 3 4 5	1		5	
Distance to Nearest Building	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Distance to Sensitive Environment	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Land Use	0	1 2 3	1		3	
Population Within 2-Mile Radius	0	1 2 3 4 5	1		5	
Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius	0	1 2 3 4 5	1		5	
Total Targets Score					24	
<b>4</b> Multiply <b>1</b> x <b>2</b> x <b>3</b>					1,440	
<b>5</b> Divide line <b>4</b> by 1,440 and multiply by 100				SFE =		

**FIGURE 11**  
**FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET**

Direct Contact Work Sheet						
Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)	
<b>1</b> Observed Incident	0 45	1	0	45	8.1	
If line <b>1</b> is 45, proceed to line <b>4</b> If line <b>1</b> is 0, proceed to line <b>2</b>						
<b>2</b> Accessibility	0 1 2 3	1	3	3	8.2	
<b>3</b> Containment	0 15	1	15	15	8.3	
<b>4</b> Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0 1 2 3	5	15	15	8.4	
<b>5</b> Targets					8.5	
Population Within a 1-Mile Radius	0 1 2 3 4 5	4		20		
Distance to a Critical Habitat	0 1 2 3	4		12		
Total Targets Score			4	32		
<b>6</b> If line <b>1</b> is 45, multiply <b>1</b> x <b>4</b> x <b>5</b> If line <b>1</b> is 0, multiply <b>2</b> x <b>3</b> x <b>4</b> x <b>5</b>			2700	21,600		
<b>7</b> Divide line <b>6</b> by 21,600 and multiply by 100			SDC = 12.50			

**FIGURE 12**  
**DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET**

June 28, 1982

DOCUMENTATION RECORDS  
FOR  
HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of these records is to provide a convenient way to prepare an auditable record of the data and documentation used to apply the Hazard Ranking System to a given facility. As briefly as possible summarize the information you used to assign the score for each factor (e.g., "Waste quantity = 4,230 drums plus 800 cubic yards of sludges"). The source of information should be provided for each entry and should be a bibliographic-type reference that will make the document used for a given data point easier to find. Include the location of the document and consider appending a copy of the relevant page(s) for ease in review.

FACILITY NAME: Richardson Flat Tailings

LOCATION: NW 1/4, Sec. 1; NE 1/4, Sec. 2, T 2 S, R 4 E, Summit Cty, UT



GROUND WATER ROUTE

NOT SCORED

1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected (5 maximum):

Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:

\* \* \*

2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS

Depth to Aquifer of Concern

Name/description of aquifers(s) of concern:

Depth(s) from the ground surface to the highest seasonal level of the saturated zone [water table(s)] of the aquifer of concern:

Depth from the ground surface to the lowest point of waste disposal/storage:

Net Precipitation

NOT SCORED

Mean annual or seasonal precipitation (list months for seasonal):

Mean annual lake or seasonal evaporation (list months for seasonal):

Net precipitation (subtract the above figures):

Permeability of Unsaturated Zone

Soil type in unsaturated zone:

Permeability associated with soil type:

Physical State

Physical state of substances at time of disposal (or at present time for generated gases):

\* \* \*

3 CONTAINMENT

NOT SCORED

Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

Method with highest score:

4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated:

Compound with highest score:

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

\* \* \*

5 TARGETS

NOT SCORED

Ground Water Use

Use(s) of aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius of the facility:

Distance to Nearest Well

Location of nearest well drawing from aquifer of concern or occupied building not served by a public water supply:

Distance to above well or building:

Population Served by Ground Water Wells Within a 3-Mile Radius

Identified water-supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius and populations served by each:

Computation of land area irrigated by supply well(s) drawing from aquifer(s) of concern within a 3-mile radius, and conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

Total population served by ground water within a 3-mile radius:

## SURFACE WATER ROUTE

### 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

Contaminants detected in surface water at the facility or downhill from it (5 maximum):

	SW-1 (upgrd.)	SW-3 (dngrd)
As	14	65
Cu	12	60
Pb	147	1985

Ref. 2, Table 3; Ref. 3.

**Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the facility:**

Elevated levels of the above elements are found in surface tailings samples.

	SO-1 (bkg)	SO-4	SO-5 (ug/g, ppm)	SO-6	SO-7
As	58	3600	1500	900	600
Cu	94	227	181	371	961
Pb	1110	3320	2650	7010	8530

Ref. 2, Table 4.

\* \* \*

**2 ROUTE CHARACTERISTICS** Route characteristics not evaluated because observed release detected.

#### Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain

Average slope of facility in percent:

Name/description of nearest downslope surface water:

Average slope of terrain between facility and above-cited surface water body in percent:

Is the facility located either totally or partially in surface water?

NOT SCORED

Is the facility completely surrounded by areas of higher elevation?

1-Year 24-Hour Rainfall in Inches

Distance to Nearest Downslope Surface Water

Physical State of Waste

\* \* \*

### 3 CONTAINMENT

#### Containment

Method(s) of waste or leachate containment evaluated:

Method with highest score:

#### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

##### Toxicity and Persistence

Compound(s) evaluated	<u>Toxicity</u>	<u>Persistence</u>
Arsenic	3	3
Copper	3	3
Lead	3	3
	Ref. 4.	Ref. 1, p. 18.

##### Compound with highest score:

Arsenic	18
Copper	18
Lead	18

Ref. 1, p. 18.

##### Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility, excluding those with a containment score of 0 (Give a reasonable estimate even if quantity is above maximum):

Approximately 2 million tons.  
Ref. 5.

##### Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

Telephone communication with Kerry Gee, Geologist/Engineer, United Park City Mines Co. Ref. 5.

$$\begin{array}{r} 160 \text{ acres (area covered by tailings) Ref. 3.} \\ \times 43560 \text{ ft}^2 \\ \hline 6969600 \text{ ft}^2 \\ \times 10 \text{ ft (average depth of tailings) Ref. 6.} \\ \hline 69696000 \text{ ft}^3 \div 27 = 2,581,333 \text{ yd}^3 \text{ or tons tailings} \end{array}$$

#### 5 TARGETS

##### Surface Water Use

Use(s) of surface water within 3 miles downstream of the hazardous substance:

Silver Creek is used for irrigation of pastureland and hay fields (Ref. 7, 8, 9) but is not used as a drinking water source (Ref. 10).

**Is there tidal influence?**

No.

**Distance to a Sensitive Environment**

**Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:**

None.

**Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:**

No freshwater wetland (>5 acres) within one mile of the site.

**Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species or national wildlife refuge, if 1 mile or less:**

None known.

Ref. 11.

**Population Served by Surface Water**

**Location(s) of water-supply intake(s) within 3 miles (free-flowing bodies) or 1 mile (static water bodies) downstream of the hazardous substance and population served by each intake:**

The G.M. Pace Ditch (an open irrigation ditch) point of diversion from Silver Creek is located 566 feet downstream of sample station RT-SW-3 (Ref. 3, 12C). At least 276 acres of pastureland and hay fields are irrigated by water diverted from Silver Creek at the above location (Ref. 12A, 12B, 7, 8, 9).

276 acres x 1.5 (persons per acre) = 414 population served. Ref. 1.



Computation of land area irrigated by above-cited intake(s) and  
conversion to population (1.5 people per acre):

$$\begin{array}{r} 276 \text{ acres irrigated} \\ 1.5 \text{ persons/acre} \\ \hline 414 \end{array}$$

Total population served:

414

Name/description of nearest of above water bodies:

G.M. Pace Irrigation Ditch diverted from Silver Creek.

Distance to above-cited intakes, measured in stream miles.

556 feet.

Ref. 3, 12C.

## AIR ROUTE

### 1 OBSERVED RELEASE

		(ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	
Contaminants detected:		<u>Upgradient</u>	<u>Primary Downgradient</u>
DAY 1	As	.0019	.0928
(7/7/87)	Cd	.0010	.0825
	Pb	.0161	1.6478
	Zn	.0292	1.1546

Ref. 13, Table 4.

#### Date and location of detection of contaminants

Hi-volume air sampling was conducted July 7-14, 1986. See Ref. 13, Fig. 2 for sample station locations.

#### Methods used to detect the contaminants:

Hi-volume air sampling was conducted from July 7-14, 1986. Methods are described in Ref. 13.

#### Rationale for attributing the contaminants to the site:

Elevated levels of the above elements were found in surface tailings samples.

	<u>SO-1 (bkg)</u>	<u>SO-4</u>	<u>SO-5</u>	<u>SO-6</u>	<u>SO-7</u>
As	58	3600	1500	900	600
Cd	17	47	40	80	58
Pb	1110	3320	2650	7010	8530
Zn	1570	6363	5400	5870	3780

Ref. 2, Table 4.

\* \* \*

### 2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

#### Reactivity and Incompatibility

##### Most reactive compound:

Arsenic - unstable at elevated temperatures; may react with water, but not violently. Ref. 21.

Assigned value = 1 Ref. 3, p. 41.

##### Most incompatible pair of compounds:

None.

### Toxicity

#### Most toxic compound:

Arsenic 3  
Cadmium 3  
Lead 3  
Zinc 3  
Ref. 4.

### Hazardous Waste Quantity

#### Total quantity of hazardous waste:

Approximately 2 million tons.  
Ref. 5.

#### Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

160 acres (area covered by tailings) Ref. 3  
43560 ft<sup>2</sup>  
6969600 ft<sup>2</sup>  
x 10 ft (average depth of tailings) Ref. 6  
69696000 ft<sup>3</sup> ÷ 27 = 2581333 yd<sup>3</sup> or tons tailings

\* \* \*

### 3 TARGETS

#### Population Within 4-Mile Radius

Circle radius used, give population, and indicate how determined:

0 to 4 mi	0 to 1 mi	0 to 1/2 mi	0 to 1/4 mi
4500 Park City population			
Ref. 14.			

#### Distance to a Sensitive Environment

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) coastal wetland, if 2 miles or less:

No coastal wetlands in Utah.

Distance to 5-acre (minimum) fresh-water wetland, if 1 mile or less:

No 5-acre freshwater wetland within 1 mile of the site.

Distance to critical habitat of an endangered species, if 1 mile or less:

None. Ref. 11.

#### Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if 1 mile or less:

1.5 miles to commercial/industrial area.

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

6 miles - Wasatch National Forest.

Ref. 3.

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

1.5 miles to residential area (note, the tailings area southwest of Richardson Flat tailings is currently developed as a residential and commercial complex).

Ref. 3.

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

0 miles; cattle and sheep graze the adjacent shrubland and were observed on the tailings during the site investigation (6/19-20/85). See Ref. 13, App. IV. Pasture grass is grown in the valley along Silver Creek and is used as winter hay supply. Ref. 7, 8, 9, 12. Assigned value = 3.

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

None within 2 miles.

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

No.

1 CONTAINMENT

Hazardous substances present:

Type of containment, if applicable:

\* \* \*

2 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Direct Evidence

Type of instrument and measurements:

Ignitability

Compound used:

Reactivity

Most reactive compound:

Incompatibility

Most incompatible pair of compounds:

\* \* \*

NOT SCORED

Hazardous Waste Quantity

Total quantity of hazardous substances at the facility:

Basis of estimating and/or computing waste quantity:

\* \* \*

3 TARGETS

Distance to Nearest Population

Distance to Nearest Building

Distance to Sensitive Environment

Distance to wetlands:

Distance to critical habitat:

Land Use

Distance to commercial/industrial area, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to national or state park, forest, or wildlife reserve, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to residential area, if 2 miles or less:

Distance to agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 1 mile or less:

Distance to prime agricultural land in production within past 5 years, if 2 miles or less:

Is a historic or landmark site (National Register or Historic Places and National Natural Landmarks) within the view of the site?

Population Within 2-Mile Radius

Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius

## DIRECT CONTACT

### 1 OBSERVED INCIDENT

Date, location, and pertinent details of incident:

No reported incidents.

\*\*\*

### 2 ACCESSIBILITY

Describe type of barrier(s):

Barriers do not completely surround the facility (site visits 6/19, 20/85, 7/30, 31/85, 8/1, 2/85, 7/7 - 14/86.

Assigned value = 3 Ref. 1, p. 59.

\*\*\*

### 3 CONTAINMENT

Type of containment, if applicable:

Surface impoundment with cover depth less than 2 feet.

Assigned value = 15 Ref. 1, p. 59.

\*\*\*

### 4 WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

#### Toxicity

Compounds evaluated: Toxicity

Arsenic	3
Cadmium	3
Copper	3
Lead	3

Ref. 2, table 3, Ref. 13, table 4

Compound with highest score:

All score 3  
Ref. 4

\*\*\*



## 5 TARGETS

### Population within one-mile radius

3 homes	Ref.
x 3.8	
<hr/> 11.4	Assigned value = 1

### Distance to critical habitat (of endangered species)

None in area.  
Ref. 11

HRS DOCUMENTATION LOG SHEET		SITE NAME <u>Richardson Flat Tailings</u>	
		CITY <u>Park City</u>	STATE <u>UT</u>
		IDENTIFICATION NUMBER <u>UTD980952840</u>	
REFERENCE NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF THE REFERENCE		
1	Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Site Ranking System - A Users Manual;		
	U.S. EPA; 1984.		
2	Analytical Results Report for Richardson Flat Tailings; S. Kennedy,		
	Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E&E); 10/25/85, TDD R8-8508-07.		
3	Radius of Influence Map for Richardson Flat Tailings.		
4	Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials; 5th ed., N.I. Sax, 1979.		
5	Telecon: J. Holcomb (E&E) to K. Gee (UPCM); 7/12/85.		
6	Drilling Log for Boring RT-2 in Report of Sampling Activities for		
	Richardson Flat Tailings; S. Kennedy, E&E; 9/30/85.		
7	Telecon: S. Kennedy (E&E) to J. Anderson (Utah Div. of Water Rights);		
	7/18/85.		
8	Telecon: S. Kennedy (E&E) to M. Oliver (J.J. Johnson & Assoc.); 7/18/85.		
9	Telecon: S. Kennedy (E&E) to S. Pace (Silver Creek Irrigation Co.); 7/18/85.		
10	Telecon: S. Kennedy (E&E) to C. Mize (Utah Bur. of Public Water Supply);		
	7/17/85.		
11	Telecon: S. Kennedy (E&E) to L. England (U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service);		
	9/4/85.		
12	Utah Div. of Water Rights Information Packet; 8/13/87; Includes A) Proposed		
	Determinaiton (1924); B) Weber River Decree (1937); and C) Blue-line		
	Drainage Plats (1920's).		
13	Analytical Results Report of Air Sampling at Richardson Flat Tailings;		
	H. Schmelzer, E&E; 8/24/87; TDD R8-8608-05.		

